



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/644,426	08/20/2003	Ernest Oxenknecht	60680-1638	7013		
10291 75	590 02/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	HMAN & GRAUER WARD AVENUE	SICONOLF	SICONOLFI, ROBERT			
SUITE 140	WARDAVEROL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BLOOMFIELD	O HILLS, MI 48304-0	3683				
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/27/200	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/644,42	<u>!</u> 6	OXENKNECHT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert A.	Siconolfi	3683				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) \(\sum 1\) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	This action is new	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,11 and 14-23 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,11 and 14-23 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and and allowed.	drawn from co	nsideration.					
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortheoath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
,								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/6/06 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 4 depends on itself. Appropriate correction is required. Note: changing the dependency of claim 4 to claim 1 would cause a conflict with claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,2,4,5,7,11,20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bryk (U. S. Patent no. 3,622,194).

See figure 3 upper base 36 with tube 42, lower base 50 with tube 54, isolator and first isolation layer 16, second isolation layer 28, annular gap receiving collar 26

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 8, , 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryk in view of Schmidt (U. S. Patent no. 3,390,709).

Bryk is relied upon as above in paragraph 4. Bryk does not disclose the isolator and isolation layers being made of wire mesh. Schmidt teaches forming an isolator and isolation layers out of wire mesh. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wire mesh as taught by Schmidt in the device of Bryk as material selection is merely a design choice. Furthermore, wire mesh is desirable because it has a "stable spring rate and good load carrying ability in the axial direction" (Schmidt column 1 lines 25-27).

7. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryk in view of Stephenson.

Bryk is relied upon as above. Bryk does not disclose the use of a lip on the upper base. Stephenson teaches a lip on the upper base. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a lip on the upper base as taught by Stephenson in the device of Bryk as such is a well known means of limiting deformation in the lateral direction. This prevents the elastomer from spreading out to the sides and over time failing.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426

Art Unit: 3683

8. Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryk in view of Schmidt (U. S. Patent no. 3,390,709).

Bryk is relied upon as above in paragraph 7. Bryk does not disclose the isolator and isolation layers being made of wire mesh. Schmidt teaches forming an isolator and isolation layers out of wire mesh. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wire mesh as taught by Schmidt in the device of Bryk as material selection is merely a design choice. Furthermore, wire mesh is desirable because it has a "stable spring rate and good load carrying ability in the axial direction" (Schmidt column 1 lines 25-27).

9. Claims 14-18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson et al. in view of Peterson.

Stephenson et al discloses:

See figure 3 upper base attached to nut 19, lower base 31, first isolation layer 16, second isolation layer 17, isolator attached to first layer 16, annular gap receives tubular portion of mounting surface 14

Stephenson et al does not disclose a first and second tubular member construction.

Peterson teaches a first and second tubular member construction (12,14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the first and second tubular member construction as taught by Peterson in the device of Stephenson et al as it has several advantages. First, it eliminates the need to have a fastener screwed from both sides which is labor intensive and requires only one

Art Unit: 3683

fastener. Second, it prevents the mount from being assembled improperly from misalignment. Third, the dimple spring mechanism prevents the mount from falling apart even if the fastener fails.

10. Claims 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson, as modified, in view of Schmidt (U. S. Patent no. 3,390,709). Stephenson, as modified, is relied upon as above. Stephenson, as modified, does not disclose the isolator and isolation layers being made of wire mesh. Schmidt teaches forming an isolator and isolation layers out of wire mesh. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wire mesh as taught by Schmidt in the device of Stephenson as material selection is merely a design choice. Furthermore, wire mesh is desirable because it has a "stable spring rate and good load carrying ability in the axial direction" (Schmidt column 1 lines 25-27).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2, 4-8,11,14-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues with regard to claims 14-18 that the combination does not disclose integral members. Peterson clearly shows integral members so the examiner has maintained this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426 Page 6

Art Unit: 3683

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on 571 272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconplfi
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3683